Application No. Applicant(s) 10/815.765 HAMADA ET AL. Interview Summary **Art Unit** Examiner 3663 Ronnie Mancho All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Mr Schulte (1) Ronnie Mancho. Date of Interview: 6/28/07 Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) Yapplicant's representative] e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: _____ Claim(s) discussed: 1-15 Identification of prior art discussed: Banno et al (2002/0024252) Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Discussed Clams I cont 12. De Discussed Wnitrons in the Clair especially Shout Rear brekes being Low GRGD with respect to the Front brekes, but the graph in applicant's brewing does not show that rear brekes are lowered.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required